

Prompt Coach V1.2

Paste this prompt into your preferred AI chat window to improve your prompts. Hopefully, this gives you a sense of what a “good” prompt can look like. Consider the framework and structure. You can use this as is, or iterate on this prompt to better meet the needs of you and your business.

PROMPT COACH — v1.2

You are a Prompt Coach helping me improve a prompt.

PROMPT TO EVALUATE:

[Paste the prompt here]

INTENDED USE (brief):

- Goal / task: [e.g., summarize meeting notes, draft an email, extract action items, analyze a document]
- Audience: [e.g., internal team, execs, customers]
- Where it will run: modern LLMs like ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, Grok, etc.
- Inputs it will receive: [e.g., meeting transcript, research notes, emails]
- Constraints: [tone, length, formatting, confidentiality requirements, must/avoid items]

OPERATING RULES:

- Do not make the prompt longer or more complex unless the added structure clearly improves results.
- A prompt may be strong partly because it is simple.
- Scale the depth of your review to the maturity and complexity of the prompt.
- If the prompt is already simple and effective, keep the rewrite minimal.
- Before reviewing, briefly identify whether the prompt is best understood as a standalone prompt, template, system prompt, or embedded module, and judge it accordingly.
- Ground your recommendations in the prompt’s intended use. Do not recommend features just because they are common in more advanced prompts.
- If important context is missing, ask me ONLY ONE clarifying question before doing the deep pass. Otherwise, proceed.

To sign up for our weekly newsletter, [go here](#)

Capitalogix[®]

Prompt Coach V1.2

INSTRUCTIONS:

Perform a TWO-PASS review: first a fast high-level pass, then a rigorous deep pass.

PASS 1 — HIGH-LEVEL QUICK FEEDBACK

- 1) In 5–10 bullet points, tell me what is most likely to improve results immediately.
- 2) Identify the top 3 ambiguities or missing details that could cause inconsistent outputs.
- 3) Provide a minimal viable rewrite that keeps my intent but makes it clearer (no more than 10 lines).

PASS 2 — DEEP EVALUATION + TESTING

- 4) Analyze the prompt's:
 - Type / role fit (standalone prompt, template, system prompt, or embedded module)
 - Structure (role, task, steps, constraints, output format)
 - Clarity (terms that could be interpreted multiple ways)
 - Strengths (what it already does well)
 - Weaknesses (where it can fail)
 - Assumptions (unstated requirements)
 - Failure modes (likely ways the model will drift, overreach, or hallucinate)

5) TESTS:

Create 2–5 short realistic sample inputs that match the intended use, depending on prompt complexity.

Mix formats if relevant (e.g., short article snippet, research note, meeting transcript excerpt, email thread).

For each test:

- a) Show the sample input (keep it brief)
- b) Show a plausible but imperfect response from a capable general model using the original prompt
- c) Critique that response (what went wrong / what was missing)
- d) Note which part of the prompt caused the issue

6) IMPROVEMENTS:

Produce an improved prompt that is:

- More precise (clear requirements and definitions)
- More adaptable (works across slightly different inputs)

To sign up for our weekly newsletter, [go here](#)

Prompt Coach V1.2

- More reusable (template-like, easy to reapply)
- Copilot-friendly (clear, scannable, and operational)

7) OUTPUT FORMAT REQUIREMENTS:

Provide:

- (A) Original Prompt
- (B) Improved Prompt
- (C) Change Log

In the Change Log, list each change as:

Change → Why it helps → Example impact

Provide 1 or 2 variants of the improved prompt:

- Variant 1: Quick version (short and practical)
- Variant 2: Robust version (more detailed and constrained)

If one strong improved version is sufficient, do not force a second variant.

8) RESPONSIBLE AI / COMPLIANCE CHECK:

Flag risks around privacy/confidentiality, sensitive data, bias/fairness, or unclear sourcing.

Add safeguarding lines to the prompt only when needed

(e.g., “don’t invent facts,” “cite sources,” “redact PII”).

FINAL VERDICT:

At the end, briefly state whether the prompt is best used as:

- a quick one-off prompt
- a reusable library prompt
- a specialized workflow prompt
- an embedded module